

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,550	10/625,550 07/24/2003		Young-Woo Kim	053933-5051	8213
9629	7590	04/25/2005		EXAMINER	
		& BOCKIUS LLP	LEPISTO, RYAN A		
1111 PENN WASHING		IA AVENUE NW 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2883	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ction Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050412				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
Attachment(s)						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ed in this National Stage				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		· (~, ~, (,).				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	y-(d) or (f)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	-, ,					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	_ , <i></i> .					
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are: a)		by the Examiner				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or					
Application Papers						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4</u> is/are objected to.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-15</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
Disposition of Claims						
*	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the ments is				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 M</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 	s action is non-final.					
	Jaroh 2005					
Status						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(e) EDOM				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	Ryan Lepisto	2883				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	10/625,550	KIM ET AL.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

Application/Control Number: 10/625,550 Page 2

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wiley (US 4,854,038). Wiley teaches the process of forming a printed circuit board (Figs. 1-6) comprising the steps of forming a plurality of via holes (13) in a plurality of copper clad (2, 4, 5) laminates (10) using a drill (column 3 lines 20-33, which implies a bit), plating the inner wall of each via hole (Fig. 4, column 3 lines 31-33), exposing and etching copper plated portions of both sides of the laminates to form a circuit pattern (column 41-42), layering the patterned copper clad laminates (10) on each other using an insulating resin adhesive (9) (column 3 lines 37-38, Fig. 6) wherein as is seen by the hatching in Fig. 6, the resin adhesive fills the space between the copper clad laminates (10) and the via holes and removing the insulating resin adhesive where needed to form additional plated through-holes (17) (column 3 lines 50-52, Fig. 6, again, implying a bit is used).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 5-15 are allowed.

Page 3

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With regard to claims 5, 8 and 12: These claims are allowable over the prior art of record because the latter, either alone or in combination, does not disclose nor render obvious a process of forming opto-vias comprising creating copper clad laminates with circuit patterns, layering them on top of each other, drilling electric and opto-vias holes, plating the holes, etching both sides of the laminates and drilling additional opto-vias in the order as disclosed in the claims or positioning a waveguide through each opto-via in a structure formed by stacking a plurality of copper clad laminates with circuit patterns and plated via holes using a resin adhesive and removing the resin to form vias, in combination with the rest of the claimed limitations.

With regard to claims 6-7, 9-11, 13-15: These claims are allowable over the prior art of record because they depend on allowable claims.

3. Claims 2 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following reference show the state of the prior art at the time of applicant's invention, specifically, multi-layered PCBs using individual layers adhered

Art Unit: 2883

together followed by forming vias: Muraki (US 6,005,766), Anstrom et al (US 6,832,436 B2), Takahashi et al (US 4,526,835), Bindra et al (US 5,129,142), Chang et al (US 5,191,174), Dux et al (US 5,224,265), Frankeny et al (US 6,098,282), Li et al (US 6,499,214 B2), Muramatsu et al (US 6,730,859 B2), Yuri et al (US 2004/0238209 A1), Egitto et al (US 6,826,830 B2).

Response to Arguments

- 5. Claim 5, as amended, overcomes the previous 35 U.S.C 112 rejection.
- 6. Applicant's arguments, filed 14 March 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3, 5, 8-10 and 12 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Wiley as described above.

The combination of Booth and Tsukamoto do fail to teach removing the insulating resin to form opto-vias. Booth does disclose an insulating resin adhesive as in that the resin separates two laminates. Booth does not disclose an electrically insulating resin adhesive. Adding electrically insulated to the claim language would better distinguish this aspect of the applicant's invention.

7. For the same reason described above, the rejection of claims 4, 6-7, 11 and 13 are overcome due to applicant's amendment and arguments above.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,550

Art Unit: 2883

Contact Information

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Lepisto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan Lepisto

RAN

Frank Font

Art Unit 2883

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Date: 4/12/05

Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fort